

# ***Differences in perceptions of academic librarians on organizational learning capabilities' (OLC) dimensions***

**M. S. Mohd Shamsul & A. K. Norliya**

Faculty of Information Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM)

40150 Shah Alam, Selangor, MALAYSIA

e-mail: shamsul\_shoid@yahoo.com, norliya@salam.uitm.edu.my

## **ABSTRACT**

*This paper presents the results of a study that examines the differences in perceptions of academic librarians on organizational learning capabilities' (OLC) dimensions. A research survey method using questionnaire was distributed to 240 academic librarians in selected university libraries in Malaysia. A total of 78% (186) of the respondents returned the questionnaire for further analysis. From the findings, the OLC's dimensions on information communication technology (ICT) was ranked as the highest (mean= 5.65) indicating that it was the most preferred response as perceived by the respondents. Using ANOVA test, the results showed that there were significant differences on organizational culture and leadership among respondents with different years of working experience. The findings are important to the librarians and the academic libraries for improving the skills of acquiring knowledge and organizational learning capabilities toward enhancing the performance of the librarians and organization.*

**Keywords:** Organizational Culture; Leadership; Organizational Learning Capabilities (OLC); Librarians, University Libraries

## **INTRODUCTION**

Academic libraries need to enhance their level of organizational learning capabilities in order for them to remain relevant to the universities' communities. Libraries served as repositories of information and librarians play their roles as gatekeepers to the information. Most of the organizational learning studies argued about the impact of technology on the organization especially the library (Geisecke and McNeil 2004; Su 2006). Technology has changed the entire library's business (Miller 2011). Libraries' functions have grown and change from collecting information and making it accessible. According to Geisecke and McNeil (2004) and Fowler (1998) there are many of library scholars who are concerned about academic libraries and its relevance in embracing organizational learning for future survival. Basically, learning organization is a model and organizational learning is process, whereby organization can adapt the working-learning relationship in order to innovate and lead. Nevertheless, the idea of an academic library as a learning organization is great and it appears frequently in anything related to organizational learning and academic libraries (Senge 1990).

**Mohd Shamsul, M. S. & Norliya, A. K.**

Organizational learning has become a main concept that covers variation of topics in the study of such library (Geisecke and McNeil 2004; Su 2006; Rowley 2000; Shoid and Kassim 2013). According to Aghdasi and Bafruei (2009) measuring organizational learning capability is the most important issue in organizational studies. Reid and Samer (2005) believed that organizational learning and innovation replicate closely to the related processes and influenced by the many elements such as; culture, climate, leadership, management practices, information acquisition, retrieval and sharing and organizational structures, systems and environment. Besides, organizational learning capabilities have been considered as an active process that will result to the openness, experimental capability, knowledge transfer and integration capability (Bahadori, Hamouzadeh, Qodoosinejad and Yousefvand 2012).

Meanwhile, this study aims to explore the difference in perceptions on organizational learning capabilities (OLC) of academic librarians. This paper addresses four dimensions of the OLC which are organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies, and ICT. The objectives are:-

1. To examine the perceptions of librarians on organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies, and ICT.
2. To examine the differences on OLC dimensions between selected demographic characteristics (position, education level, age group and work experience).

From the research objectives, the following research hypotheses were formulated for the study: -

**H1:** There are significant differences on OLC's dimensions between respondents of different position.

**H2:** There are significant differences on OLC's dimensions between respondents of different educational level.

**H3:** There are significant differences on OLC's dimensions among respondents of different age group.

**H4:** There are significant differences on OLC's dimensions among respondents of different work experiences.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **Organizational Learning Capabilities (OLC)**

Aradhana and Anuradhan (2006) affirmed that organizational learning capabilities is the situation where individuals and groups are willing to acquire and apply knowledge in their jobs in making decisions and influencing others to accomplish important tasks for the organization. Organizational learning has a positive relation with the organizational performance. Therefore, organizations should take initiative to design themselves as learning laboratories in terms of acquiring, generating, sharing and using knowledge resources continuously for the innovation and performance of the organization and its members.

### *Differences in perceptions of academic librarians*

Meanwhile, studies by Dibella, Nevis and Gould (1996) and Goh and Richards (1997) stated that organizational learning capability is the organizational and managerial elements that facilitate the organizational learning process or allowing the organization to learn. Full attention has been given to the growth of organizational learning capability by scholars. After few studies, it shows that organizational learning capabilities play as an important role for innovation. Besides, it is shown that organizations have increased to learn and it is a critical factor for organization to grow and innovate (Goh 1998; Hult, Hurley and Knight 2004). In addition, failure is the key for the effective organizational learning, e.g. interaction with the external environment to the relationships with the organizational external environment (Alegre and Chiva 2008).

Besides that, organizational learning capabilities is the learning process for each of the organization who practice it (Fang, Chang and Chen 2011; Shoid, Kassim and Salleh 2012). Therefore, any changes resulted from the learning process may drive to the recovery, or maintenance of organizational function (Alegre and Chiva 2008). Organizational learning capabilities has become as important element to enhance the growth and innovation of one organization. Moreover, a collection of resources of tangible and intangible skills are necessary to use competitive advantages. Organizational learning capabilities are also known as a formation of capacity and combination of ideas in an efficient way in contact with an assortment of organizational borders and through special managerial methods and innovations (Rashidi, Habibi and Jafari Farsani 2010).

Yeo (2005) conceptualized organizational learning as an element that deals with the process of change and revolution. It focuses on both short-term solutions and overall adaption of the organization. Systematic approach in learning and development in one organization is vital as it helps in facilitating the organization's members to participate in transformation process (Yeo 2005; Senge 1996). Change of an organization involved change in people's values and beliefs. Changes in cooperative order may help the materialization of organizational learning and transformation to a learning organization (Yeo 2005).

#### a) Organizational Culture

Hall (1992) believed that organizational culture plays as cognitive capability of one organization. Besides that, it is strongly related with the learning behavior of employees. Few types of organizational culture might value and promote learning behaviors, while others do not. Organizational culture helps to facilitate the systematic change of organizational learning behavior and also improve the learning capabilities (Shoid and Kassim 2012). Furthermore, organizational culture is the essential element in organizational performance. Therefore, performance and productivity are affected by the organizational culture. Organizational culture also helps to motivate and apply employees' talents and improve productivity (Jafarnia 2004).

Organizational culture is a set of shared value that is responsible in making the organizational community to understand the functionality of the organization itself. Moreover, it helps in guiding the ways of thinking as well as behavior. There are 4 types of cultures that are listed by McKenna (2000) that surrounds the organization which are power, culture, role culture, support culture and achievement culture. The cultures are

**Mohd Shamsul, M. S. & Norliya, A. K.**

totally different from one to another country. Thus, organization's vision should acknowledge both organizational structures and communication (Howard and Sommerville 2008). Based on this perspective, culture in organization provides elements of appreciation and growth of positive action within organizational system (Jenlink and Banathy 2005).

#### **b) Leadership**

Kanter (1983) is responsible in introducing the empowerment or leadership concepts as a successor to the older command-and-control approach in organization. Thus, it directs the management to promote employees on what to do and how to do it. The power has been decentralized to employees of lower echelons and they are responsible to make their own decisions (Randolph 2000).

Leadership is important in one organization. The existence of leadership may encourage the organizational culture which also enables the workforce to understand and believe their organization's vision, mission and value (Malek Shah 2005; Shoid and Kassim 2013). A constant and powerful leadership will encourage employees to do their job because they want to enhance and develop learning culture. Besides, organization's leadership also encourages learning culture with future and an external orientation. This may foster the free flow of information between customers and staff to improve the quality service and products (Malek Shah 2005).

#### **c) Employees' Skills and Competencies**

Beheshtifar, Mohammad-Rafiei and Nekoie-Moghadam (2012) stated that employees' skills and competencies is a self-management of working and learning experiences in order to achieve desired career growth. According to Azmi, Ahmad and Zainuddin (2009), in order to develop the employees' performance in their present and future tasks, employees' skills and competencies which are based on career development is important to be implemented. Employees' skills and competencies are special abilities which are characterized by representing, at society defined level, the ability to behave adequately and to take responsibility for one's behavior. Beheshtifar, Mohammad-Rafiei and Nekoie-Moghadam (2012) affirmed that employees' skills and competencies have the potential to go far beyond technical skills and managerial abilities on specific organizations' growth plan.

#### **d) Information Communication and Technology (ICT)**

According to Bhatt, Gupta and Kitchens (2005) collaboration support systems are integrated information and communication technologies that facilitated communication and connectivity among individuals in supporting organization's collaboration during performance. Meanwhile, developments of new products of ICT require information specialists to be knowledgeable on how to incorporate the technologies and products in their services. Therefore, they should ensure that they keep abreast with technologies, systems, new forms of information, information media and information sources (Chou, 2003; Shoid, Kassim and Salleh 2012).

## RESEARCH METHODS

Quantitative method has been conducted in this study. Selected university libraries in Malaysia were chosen as the study setting. The respective university libraries were Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), Universiti Malaya (UM), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Universiti Islam Antarabangsa Malaysia (UIAM), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). They were chosen because the universities have the most number of academic librarians in the university libraries. Questionnaires were personally distributed to a total of two hundred and forty (240) librarians of the selected university libraries. From the feedback, one hundred and eighty-six (78%) of the questionnaires were returned and usable for analysis. The questionnaire consists of four dimensions of OLC namely organizational culture, leadership and employees' skills and competencies and ICT. The questionnaire items were designed on a 1 (strongly disagree) through 7 (strongly agree) Likert scale. For data analysis, descriptive statistics include frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation while the inferential statistics include independent samples *t*-test and ANOVA (One Way Analysis of variance).

## FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

### Reliability Analysis

It can be summarized that Cronbach's alpha value of organizational culture (0.814), leadership (0.884), employees' skills and competencies (0.872), and ICT (0.875) exceed 0.7. The value of this coefficient was considered high and acceptable thus satisfying the reliability assumption of the items in the respective dimensions.

Table 1: Reliability Test

Variables	No. of items in a component	Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha based on standardized items
Organizational Culture	7	0.805	0.814
Leadership	7	0.884	0.884
Employees' Skills and Competencies	7	0.871	0.872
Information Communication and Technology (ICT)	7	0.874	0.875

### Profile of Respondents

The summary statistics for the profile of the respondents are presented. From the total of 186 respondents, 70.4% (131) of the respondents are female and 29.6% (55) of the respondents are male. Majority (153 of 82.3%) are middle management staff compared to 33 (29.6%) holding senior management post. Slightly, more than half (95 or 51.1%) of the respondents have Bachelor's degree while 91 (48.9%) had Master's degree. Majority

of the respondents belong to the 31 – 40 years of age group (83 or 44.6%), followed by 20 -30 years of age group (58 or 31.2%), 41 – 50 years of age group (37 or 19.9%) and 51 and above years age group which represents only 8 or 4.3%. Slightly, more than half of the respondents (52.2% or 97) have worked less than 10 years, followed by 37.1% or 69 of those who have worked for 11 – 20 years, 9.1% or 17 who have worked for 21- 30 years and a small number (1.6% or 3) have worked for 30 – 40 years. In terms of work department, respondents are quite well spread over the seven different departments. The catalog and classification department represents the most number of respondents (43 or 23.1 %). This is followed by the acquisition department (35 or 18.8%), reference service department (31 or 16.7 %), and automation and IT department (24 or 12.9 %). There are less than 10% of respondents in each of the circulation department (17 or 9.1 %), administration department (15 or 8.1 %), training and support service department (6 or 3.2%) and other department (15 or 8.1%).

### **Normality Test**

The measure of skewness between -1.0 to 1.0 indicates that data does not depart from normality. Hence, the parametric statistical analysis can be employed. Since all measures for the skewness are closer to 0.0 and within the range between -1.0 to 1.0 as shown in Table 2, the study concludes that the distribution of data is almost symmetry or bell-shaped. The bell-shaped distribution indicates the data is normally distributed. Hence, the data obtained in the study meets the required assumption for employing the parametric statistical analysis that data comes from a normal distribution.

Table 2: The Measure of Skewness of the Data

<b>Variables</b>	<b>min</b>	<b>max</b>	<b>skewness</b>	<b>kurtosis</b>
Organizational Culture	3.43	6.71	<b>-0.421</b>	0.154
Leadership	3.14	7.00	<b>-0.351</b>	0.464
Employees' Skills and Competencies	3.14	7.00	<b>-0.166</b>	0.292
Information Communication and Technology (ICT)	4.00	7.00	<b>-0.074</b>	-0.011

### **Ranking Levels of Perceptions on OLC Dimensions**

The frequency analysis was used to measure the respondents' perceptions and understanding of 4 OLC dimensions. All the scores were then arranged according to the ranking with the highest mean which was considered as the most preferred response. Result shows the highest mean score was ICT (5.65), followed by employees' skills and competencies (5.53), leadership (5.39), and organizational culture (5.23) as depicted in Table 3.

Table 3: Ranking of the Level of Perception

No.	Dimension	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
1	Information Communication Technology (ICT)	5.65*	0.618
2	Employees' Skills and Competencies	5.53	0.649
3	Leadership	5.39	0.701
4	Organizational Culture	5.23	0.640

\* The higher the mean score, the more positive is the perception

### **Difference of Perceptions on OLC Dimensions between Position**

The parametric statistical test used in this analysis was independent samples *t*-test analysis as it involved two groups (middle management and senior management) of respondents. Table 4 presents the independent samples *t*-test analysis to compare the perception on position between organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies and ICT. From the findings, the *t* value for all the dimensions were not significant at 5% level ( $p > 0.05$ ). It was concluded that there was no adequate evidence to prove that there were significant differences in the mean scores of dimensions measured between respondents who were in middle management and senior management.

Table 4: Results of Independent Samples *t*-Test Analysis by Position

No.	Variable	Mean		t	Df	Sig.
1	Organizational Culture	Middle Mgt.	5.19	1.548	184	0.123
		Senior Mgt.	5.38			
2	Leadership	Middle Mgt.	5.35	1.745	184	0.083
		Senior Mgt.	5.58			
3	Employees' Skills and Competencies	Middle Mgt.	5.51	0.603	184	0.547
		Senior Mgt.	5.59			
4	ICT	Middle Mgt.	5.65	0.391	184	0.696
		Senior Mgt.	5.70			

### **Difference in Perceptions on OLC Dimensions between Education Level**

The same analysis proceeded with the education level of respondents. Table 5 shows the independent samples *t*-test involving four dimensions of OLC namely, organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies and ICT to determine whether

perceptions on these differ between respondents with bachelor’s degree and master’s degree. Based on the results on *t* value, there was no evidence that the four dimensions of OLC scores between bachelor’s degree and master’s degree was different as shown by the sig. value ( $p > 0.05$ ). Therefore, the perceptions of respondents on the four dimensions of OLC were the same regardless of their education level.

Table 5: Results of Independent Samples *t*-Test Analysis by Education Level

No.	Variable	Mean		<i>t</i>	Df	Sig.
1	Organizational Level	Bachelor’s Degree	5.26	1.092	184	0.276
		Master’s Degree	5.17			
2	Leadership	Bachelor’s Degree	5.48	1.653	184	0.100
		Master’s Degree	5.31			
3	Employees’ Skills and Competencies	Bachelor’s Degree	5.50	-0.496	184	0.621
		Master’s Degree	5.55			
4	ICT	Bachelor’s Degree	5.59	-1.537	184	0.126
		Master’s Degree	5.73			

Table 6: Results of ANOVA Analysis among Age Group

Variables		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Organizational Culture	Between Groups	0.654	3	0.218	0.528	0.664
	Within Groups	75.212	182	0.413		
	Total	75.866	185			
Leadership	Between Groups	0.220	3	0.073	0.147	0.931
	Within Groups	90.619	182	0.498		
	Total	90.839	185			
Employees’ Skills and Competencies	Between Groups	0.611	3	0.204	0.480	0.697
	Within Groups	77.224	182	0.424		
	Total	77.835	185			
ICT	Between Groups	1.604	3	0.535	1.409	0.242
	Within Groups	69.075	182	0.380		
	Total	70.679	185			



### Comparison of Perceptions on OLC Dimensions among Age Group

Table 6 shows the results of One-Way ANOVA test analysis involving organizational culture, leadership, employees’ skills and competencies and ICT to determine whether perceptions on these differ between respondents age group. From the results, none of the test was significant at 5% level ( $p > 0.05$ ). It was concluded that there was no evidence of age group difference in their perception on these four dimensions.

### Comparison of Perceptions on OLC Dimensions among Work Experiences

Table 7 presents the One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test analysis to compare the perceptions on work experiences on organizational culture, leadership, employees’ skills and competencies and ICT. From the findings, the computed F-statistic for organizational culture (2.952) was significant at 5% level ( $p = 0.034 < 0.05$ ) and F-statistic for leadership (2.984) was significant at 5% level ( $p = 0.033 < 0.05$ ). However, the F-statistic for employees’ skills and competencies (1.530) and ICT (0.895) were not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ).

Table 7: Results of ANOVA Analysis among Work Experiences

Variables		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Organizational Culture	Between Groups	3.520	3	1.173	2.952	0.034*
	Within Groups	72.346	182	0.398		
	Total	75.866	185			
Leadership	Between Groups	4.259	3	1.420	2.984	0.033*
	Within Groups	76.055	182	0.476		
	Total	77.938	185			
Employees’ Skills and Competencies	Between Groups	1.915	3	0.638	1.530	0.208
	Within Groups	75.920	182	0.417		
	Total	77.835	185			
ICT	Between Groups	1.027	3	0.342	0.895	0.445
	Within Groups	69.652	182	0.383		
	Total	70.679	185			

Once the null hypothesis was supported for organizational culture and leadership, Post-Hoc comparison test with Tukey HSD would be used to determine which work experiences group showed significant difference in the mean scores as outlined in Table 8. For the organizational culture dimension, the results showed that the mean scores for

those who had a working experience of 21 – 30 years was significantly higher than those who had working experience in the range of less than 10 years, 10 -20 years and 30 – 40 years. For leadership dimension, the results showed that the mean scores for those who had a working experience of 21 – 30 years was significantly higher than those who had working experience of less than 10 years, 10 -20 years and 30 – 40 years.

Table 8: Results of Post-Hoc Tukey HSD Analysis Among Work Experiences

Dependent Variable	(I) Years of working experience	(J) Years of working experience	Mean Difference (I-J)	Sig.
Organizational Culture	Less than 10 years	11 - 20 years	-.04090	.976
		21 - 30 years	<b>-.48506*</b>	.020
		30 - 40 years	-.21895	.934
	11 - 20 years	Less than 10 years	.04090	.976
		21 - 30 years	-.44416*	.049
		30 - 40 years	-.17805	.964
	21 - 30 years	Less than 10 years	<b>.48506*</b>	.020
		11 - 20 years	.44416*	.049
		30 - 40 years	.26611	.907
	30 - 40 years	Less than 10 years	.21895	.934
		11 - 20 years	.17805	.964
		21 - 30 years	-.26611	.907
Leadership	Less than 10 years	11 - 20 years	.10222	.783
		21 - 30 years	-.44607	.070
		30 - 40 years	-.23319	.939
	11 - 20 years	Less than 10 years	-.10222	.783
		21 - 30 years	<b>-.54829*</b>	.019
		30 - 40 years	-.33540	.843
	21 - 30 years	Less than 10 years	.44607	.070
		11 - 20 years	<b>.54829*</b>	.019
		30 - 40 years	.21289	.961
	30 - 40 years	Less than 10 years	.23319	.939
		11 - 20 years	.33540	.843
		21 - 30 years	-.21289	.961

\*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

## CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that among the OLC dimensions, *information communication and technology (ICT)* was found to be the most preferred dimension perceived by the

### *Differences in perceptions of academic librarians*

respondents. This implied that the respondents believed that the organizational learning capabilities on ICT occurred more in the organization. Meanwhile, in term of work experiences, result showed that there were significant differences on *organizational culture* and *leadership*. Post-hoc test using Tukey HSD was used to determine which work experiences group showed significant difference. On the other hand, the result showed that there were no differences in the perceptions between position and education level on the *organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies* and *ICT*. In terms of age group, the results also showed that there was no difference on *organizational culture, leadership, employees' skills and competencies* and *ICT*. Future study can focus on systems thinking, shared vision and mission and teamwork cooperation as other dimensions of OLC. This study had its limitation in which it was based on data from selected university libraries in Malaysia. It is expected that the outcome of the study will be useful in identifying appropriate programs to improve the skills of acquiring knowledge and enhance the learning capabilities of librarians. Furthermore, OLC elements can be used as the benchmark to measure knowledge performance and level of learning in the academic libraries.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This research was supported by Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM). The authors wish to thank UiTM and all respondents who had participated in the survey.

### **REFERENCES**

- Aghdasi, M. and Khakzar, B. 2009. Measuring level of organisational learning capabilities in hospitals. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering & Product Management*, 19(4), 71-78.
- Alegre, J. and Chiva, R. 2008. Assessing the impact of organisational learning capability on product innovation performance: an empirical test. *Technovation*, 28(6): 315-326.
- Aradhana, K. and Anuradhana, S. 2006. Organisational learning and performance: Understanding Indian scenario in present global context. *Education + Training*, 48 (8/9), 682-692.
- Azmi, I. A., Ahmad, Z. A. and Zainuddin, Y. 2009. The effects of competency based career development and performance management practices on service quality: Some evidence from Malaysia. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 5 (1), 97-112.
- Bahadori, M., Hamouzadeh, P., Qodoosinejad, J. and Yousefvand, M. 2012. Organisational learning capabilities of nurses in Iran. *Global Business & Management Research: An International Journal*, 4 (3&4), 248-254.
- Beheshtifar, M., Mohammad-Rafiei, R. and Nekoie-Moghadam, M. 2012. Role of career competencies in organisation. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 4(8), 563-569.
- Bhatt, G., Gupta, J. N. D. and Kitchens, F. 2005. An exploratory study of groupware use in the knowledge process. *Journal Enterprise of Information Management*, 18(1), 28-46.

- Chou, S. C. 2003. Computer systems to facilitating organisational learning, IT and organizational context. *Expert Systems Application*, 24(3), 273-280.
- Dibella, A. J., Nevis, E. C. and Gould, J. M. 1996. Understanding organisational learning capability. *Journal of Management Study*, 33(3), 361-379.
- Fang, C. H., Chang, S. T. and Chen, G. L. 2011. Organizational learning capability and organisational innovation: The moderating role of knowledge inertia. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(5), 1864-1870.
- Fowler, R. K. 1998. The university library as learning organisation for innovation: An exploratory study. *College & Research Libraries*, 59(3), 220-231.
- Geisecke, J. and McNeil, B. 2004. *Transitioning to be the learning organisation*. Faculty Publications, UNL Libraries, Paper 5. Available at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libraryscience/5>
- Goh, S. C. and Richards, G. 1997. Benchmarking the learning capability of organisations. *European Management Journal*, 15(5), 575-583.
- Goh, S. C. 1998. Toward a learning organisation: The strategic building blocks. *Advance Management Journal*, 63(2), 15-22.
- Hall, R. 1992. The strategic analysis of intangible resources. *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 13, no. 2: 135-144.
- Howard, Z. and Somerville, M. M. 2008. Building knowledge capabilities: An organisational learning approach. In *11th Annual Australian Conference on Knowledge Management and Intelligent Decision Support (ACKMIDS08): Harnessing knowledge to build communities*: 1-12.
- Hult, G. T. M., Hurley, R. F. and Knight, G. A. 2004. Innovativeness: Its antecedents and impact on business performance. *Marketing Management*, Vol. 33, no. 5: 429-438.
- Jafarnia, S. 2004. Investigation of relationship between organisational culture and human resource productivity of Ilam province Management and Planning Organisation, Master Dissertation: Tehran University.
- Jenlink, P. M. and Banathy, B. H. 2005. Dialogue: Conversation as culture building and consciousness evolving. In Banathy, B. and Jenlink, P.M.(eds.) *Dialogue as a Means of Collective Communication*, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic.
- Kanter, R. M. 1983. *The Change Masters: Innovation and Entrepreneurship in the American Corporation*. Simon & Schuster, New York.
- Malek Shah, M. A. 2005. The public service as a learning organisation: The Malaysian experience. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 71(3), 463-474.
- McKenna, E. 2000. *Business psychology and organizational behavior*. Hove: Psychology Press.
- Miller, R. E. 2011. Reference communities: Applying the community of practice concept to development of reference knowledge. *Public Services Quarterly*, 7(1) 18-26.
- Randolph, W. 2000. Re-thinking empowerment: Why is it so hard to achieve? *Organisational Dynamics*, 29(2), 94-107.
- Rashidi, M. M., Habibi, M. and Jafari Farsani, J. 2010. The relationship between intellectual assets organisational learning capability at the institute for international energy studies. *Management and Human Resources in the Oil Industry*, 11(4), 59-76.
- Reid, B. and Samer, K. 2005. Organisational learning culture, learning transfer climate and perceived innovation in Jordanian organisations. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 9(2), 92-109.

*Differences in perceptions of academic librarians*

- Rowley, J. 2000. Is Higher Education ready for knowledge management? *The International Journal of Educational Management*, 14(7), 325-333.
- Senge, P. 1996. *The Fifth Discipline*. New York: Doubleday.
- Senge, P. 1990. *The Fifth Discipline: The Art and Practice of the Learning Organisation*. New York: Doubleday.
- Shoid, M. S. M. and Kassim, N. A. 2013. Ascertaining dimensions of organizational learning capabilities (OLC) in academic library. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 3(7), 546-554.
- Shoid, M. S. M. and Kassim, N, A. 2012. Organizational culture and teamwork cooperation as determinants of organizational learning capabilities (OLC) in academic library. In *IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications (ISBEIA)*, 23 -26 September, Bandung, Indonesia: 243-247.
- Shoid, M. S. M., Kassim, N. A. and Salleh, M. I. M. 2012. Identifying the determinants of organizational learning capabilities. *International Journal of Academic Research*, 4 (4), 113-117.
- Su, S. S. 2006. Individual learning and organisational learning in academic libraries. In C. Khoo, D. Singh & A.S. Chaudhry (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Asia-Pacific Conference on Library & Information Education & Practice 2006 (A-LIEP 2006), Singapore. 3-6 April 2006* : 247-251.
- Yeo, R. K. 2005. Revisiting the roots of learning organization: A synthesis of the learning organization literature. *The Learning Organization*, 12 (4), 368-382.

*Mohd Shamsul, M. S. & Norliya, A. K.*